

United States of America vs.

United States District Court

DEFENDANT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ROLAND DENVER WILLIAMS

DOCKET NO. 82-CR-112-C

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (6/74)

In the presence of the attorney for the government
the defendant appeared in person on this date

| MONTH | DAY | YEAR |
|-------|-----|------|
| 10 | 29 | 1982 |

COUNSEL

☐ WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

☒ WITH COUNSEL JOHN CARLE, retained

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

☒ GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,☐ NOLO CONTENDERE,☐ NOT GUILTYFINDING &
JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict of

☐ NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged☒ GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 21, U.S.C., §841(a)(1), as charged in the Indictment.

SENTENCE
OR
PROBATION
ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

THREE (3) YEARS.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the period of imprisonment is hereby suspended and the defendant is placed on probation for a period of Three (3) Years.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant is sentenced to a special parole term of Two (2) Years, to commence at the expiration of the sentence imposed herein.

SPECIAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATION

FILED

OCT 29 1982

John C. Silver, Clerk
U.S. DISTRICT COURTADDITIONAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT
RECOMMEN-
DATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

☒ U.S. District Judge☐ U.S. Magistrate

H. Dale Cook

Date October 29, 1982

United States of America vs.

United States District Court for

DEFENDANT

JACK GILBERT LAWWILL

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DOCKET NO. 82-CR-88-C

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (6/74)

In the presence of the attorney for the government
the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY YEAR
10 29 1982

COUNSEL

☐ WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

☒ WITH COUNSEL S. THOMAS COLEMAN, court appointed
(Name of counsel)

PLEA

☐ GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that
there is a factual basis for the plea,

☐ NOLO CONTENDERE,

☒ NOT GUILTY

There being a finding/verdict of

☐ NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged
☒ GUILTY.

FINDING &
JUDGMENT

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S.C.,
§1202(a)(1), as charged in the Indictment.

SENTENCE
OR
PROBATION
ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

TWO (2) YEARS.

SPECIAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATION

ADDITIONAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATION

COMMITMENT
RECOMMEN-
DATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

☒ U.S. District Judge

☐ U.S. Magistrate

H. DALE COOK

Date October 29, 1982

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA

United States of America

vs.

EDWARD ROBERT PARKER

Criminal No. 81-CR-70 ✓

FILED

OCT 28 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal

Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States

Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma

hereby dismisses COUNTS 2-12 of the INDICTMENT against
(indictment, information, complaint)

EDWARD ROBERT PARKER defendant.

Ben Baker

Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

W. S. Salo
United States District Judge

Date: October ²⁸₂₇, 1982

DOJ

FORM OBD-113

8-27-74

DEFENDANT

EDWARD ROBERT PARKER

DOCKET NO.

81-CR-70-C

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (6/74)

In the presence of the attorney for the government
the defendant appeared in person on this date

| MONTH | DAY | YEAR |
|-------|-----|------|
| 10 | 27 | 1982 |

COUNSEL

☐ WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

☒ WITH COUNSEL ☐ R. W. Byars, retained

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

☒ GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,☐ NOLO CONTENDERE,☐ NOT GUILTY

There being a finding/verdict of

☐ NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged
☒ GUILTY.FINDING &
JUDGMENT

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S.C.,
§371 as charged in Count 1 of the Indictment.

SENTENCE
OR
PROBATION
ORDER

COUNT 1 - Two (2) Years, pursuant to Title 18, U.S.C.,
Section 4205(b) (2).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the execution of sentence is stayed until November 15, 1982, at 9:00 a.m., at which time the defendant is to present himself to the U. S. Marshal at Tulsa, Oklahoma, or directly to an institution at the direction of the Marshal, in execution of said sentence.

SPECIAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATION

FILED

OCT 27 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURTADDITIONAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT
RECOMMEN-
DATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer

SIGNED BY

☒ U.S. District Judge☐ U.S. Magistrate

H. Dale Cook

Date October 27, 1982

United States of America vs.

United States District Court, for

DEFENDANT

FRED BENNETT WELCH

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DOCKET NO.

82-CR-97-C

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government
the defendant appeared in person on this date

| MONTH | DAY | YEAR |
|-------|-----|------|
| 10 | 22 | 1982 |

☐ WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

☒ WITH COUNSEL☐ John Q. Adams, Retained

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

☐ GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that
there is a factual basis for the plea,☐ NOLO CONTENDERE,☒ NOT GUILTY

There being a finding/verdict of

☐ NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged☒ GUILTY.FINDING &
JUDGMENT

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S.C.,

\$1708, as charged in Counts 1, 2, 3 and 4 of the Indictment.

SENTENCE
OR
PROBATION
ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of:

Count 1 - Four (4) Years.

Counts 2, 3, 4 - Three (3) Years., as to each count.

IT IS ORDERED that the sentence imposed in Counts 3 and 4 shall run concurrent with the sentence imposed in Count 2.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the sentence imposed in Counts 2, 3 and 4 shall run consecutive with the sentence imposed in Count 1.

SPECIAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATIONADDITIONAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATIONCOMMITMENT
RECOMMEN-
DATION

SIGNED BY

☒ U.S. District Judge☐ U.S. Magistrate

H. DALE COOK

FILED

OCT 22 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

Date October 22, 1982

United States of America vs.

United States District Court for

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DEFENDANT

MARC DeWITT

DOCKET NO.

82-CR-95-C

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (6/74)

In the presence of the attorney for the government
the defendant appeared in person on this date

| MONTH | DAY | YEAR |
|-------|-----|------|
| 10 | 22 | 1982 |

COUNSEL

☐ WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

☒ WITH COUNSEL Joseph E. Clark, Jr., Court Appointed

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

☒ GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that
there is a factual basis for the plea,☐ NOLO CONTENDERE,☐ NOT GUILTY

There being a finding/verdict of

☐ NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged☒ GUILTY.FINDING &
JUDGMENTDefendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 21,
U.S.C., §841(a)(1), as charged in Count 2 of the Indictment.SENTENCE
OR
PROBATION
ORDERCount 2 - Two (2) Years, with a Special Parole Term of
Four (4) Years, to commence at the expiration of the sentence
imposed herein.SPECIAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATIONADDITIONAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATIONCOMMITMENT
RECOMMEN-
DATION

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of.

FILED

OCT 22 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

☒ U.S. District Judge☐ U.S. Magistrate

H. Dale Cook

October 22, 1982

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Oklahoma

United States of America

vs.

MARC DeWITT

Criminal No. 82-CR-95 ✓

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal
Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States
Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma
hereby dismisses ~~XXX~~ Count 1 only of the Indictment against
(indictment, ~~information, complexity~~)
MARC DeWITT, defendant.

FILED
IN OPEN COURT

OCT 22 1982 *pm*

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney

Kenneth P. Snake
Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

W. J. Salubook
United States District Judge

Date: *October 22, 1982*

DOJ

FORM OBD-113

8-27-74

7.

7.

United States of America vs.

United States District Court for

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DEFENDANT

CLYDE RONALD JOHNSTON

DOCKET NO. 82-CR-81-C

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (6/74)

In the presence of the attorney for the government
the defendant appeared in person on this date

| MONTH | DAY | YEAR |
|-------|-----|------|
| 10 | 22 | 1982 |

COUNSEL

☐ WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

☒ WITH COUNSEL Larry Gullekson, retained

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

☒ GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that
there is a factual basis for the plea,

☐ NOLO CONTENDERE,

☐ NOT GUILTY

There being a finding/verdict of

☐ NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

☒ GUILTY.

FINDING &
JUDGMENT

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S.C.,
§1202(a)(1), as charged in Count 2 of the Indictment.

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

Count. 2 - One and One Half (1 1/2) Years.

SENTENCE
OR
PROBATION
ORDER

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the execution of sentence is stayed until November 19, 1982 at 9:00 a.m., at which time the defendant is to present himself to the U. S. Marshal at Tulsa, Oklahoma, or directly to an institution at the direction of the Marshal, in execution of said sentence.

SPECIAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATION

ADDITIONAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATION

COMMITMENT
RECOMMEN-
DATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

☒ U.S. District Judge

☐ U.S. Magistrate

H. Dale Cook

Date October 22, 1982

FILED

OCT 22 1982

Jack C. Shiver, Clerk
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA

United States of America

vs.

CLYDE RONALD JOHNSTON

Criminal No. 82-CR-81-B

FILED
IN OPEN COURT

OCT 22 1982

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal
Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States
Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma
hereby dismisses COUNTS 1, 3, & 4 of the INDICTMENT against
(indictment, information, complaint)
CLYDE RONALD JOHNSTON defendant.

151 G. Hilsen
Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

151 H. Dee Cook
United States District Judge

Date: October 22, 1982

DOJ

FORM OBD-113

8-27-74

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA

United States of America

vs.

CLYDE RONALD JOHNSTON

Criminal No. 82-CR-81


FILED

OCT 28 1982

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal
Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States
Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma
hereby dismisses the Indictment filed June 1, 1982 against
(indictment, information, complaint)
CLYDE RONALD JOHNSTON defendant; for the reason that a
Superceding Indictment was returned against this defendant
on July 2, 1982.


Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.


United States District Judge

Date: October 28, 1982

DOJ

FORM OBD-113

8-27-74

United States of America vs. **United States District Court** for
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DEFENDANT **SANDY KAY ORMS**
DOCKET NO. **82-CR-66-C**

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER AO-245 (6/74)

In the presence of the attorney for the government
the defendant appeared in person on this date

| | | |
|-------|-----|------|
| MONTH | DAY | YEAR |
| 10 | 22 | 1982 |

COUNSEL ☐ WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.
☒ WITH COUNSEL G. STEVEN STIDHAM court appointed
(Name of counsel)

PLEA ☐ GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea, ☐ NOLO CONTENDERE, ☒ NOT GUILTY

There being a ~~finding~~ verdict of ☒ NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged, her bond exonerated and Indictment dismissed as to Counts 1, 2 and 3.
☐ GUILTY.

FINDING & JUDGMENT Defendant ~~has been convicted of the offenses of having violated Title 18, U.S.C., §656, as charged in Counts 1, 2 and 3 of the Indictment.~~ is Not Guilty upon a verdict of Not Guilty of the offenses of having violated Title 18, U.S.C., §656, as charged in Counts 1, 2 and 3 of the Indictment.

IT IS ORDERED that defendant is Not Guilty upon a verdict of Not Guilty of the offenses of having violated Title 18, U.S.C., §656, as charged in Counts 1, 2 and 3 of the Indictment.

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that the defendant hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY ☒ U.S. District Judge H. DALE COOK
☐ U.S. Magistrate
Date October 22, 1982

DEFENDANT

GILBERT ALLEN DAWES

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DOCKET NO. 32-CR-122-E

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER AO-245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY YEAR
10- 21 82

☐ WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

☒ WITH COUNSEL

Bud Byars, Retained

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

☒ GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

☐ NOLO CONTENDERE,

☐ NOT GUILTY

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict of

☐ NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

☒ GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of **having violated Title 18, U.S.C., Section 371, as charged in the Information.**

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

FIFTEEN (15) MONTHS.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant may become eligible for parole at such time as the Parole Commission may determine as provided in T. 18, USC, Sec. 4205(b)(2).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the execution of sentence is deferred to November 22, 1982, at which time the defendant is to report to the designated institution via his own transportation. Prior to such date, the defendant is to communicate with the U. S. Marshal's Office in regard to location of designated institution.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

☒ U.S. District Judge

☐ U.S. Magistrate

James O. Ellison

Date 10-21-82

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

BY () CLERK () DEPUTY

DEFENDANT

FLECHIA MARKITA WHITE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DOCKET NO.

82-CR-117-E

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY YEAR
10 19 82

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Wesley E. Johnson, Court Appointed

(Name of counsel)

FILED

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

OCT 19 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged
GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S.C., Section 656, as charged in the Indictment.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

The imposition of sentence is suspended and the defendant is placed on probation for a period of FIVE (5) YEARS as provided under T. 18, USC, Sec. 4216:5010(a), under the provisions of the Youth Correction Act.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

The SPECIAL CONDITION of Probation is that the defendant make restitution of \$2,776.49, at monthly payments as determined by the Probation Office.

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

BY

James O Ellison

Date

10-18-82

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

United States District Court for

United States of America vs.

DEFENDANT

TONY LEE PALLOCK

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DOCKET NO. 82-CR-103-C

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (6/74)

In the presence of the attorney for the government
the defendant appeared in person on this date

| MONTH | DAY | YEAR |
|-------|-----|------|
| 10 | 15 | 1982 |

COUNSEL

☐ WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

☒ WITH COUNSEL

William J. Wenzel, court appointed
(Name of counsel)

PLEA

☒ GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that
there is a factual basis for the plea,

☐ NOLO CONTENDERE,

☐ NOT GUILTY

There being a finding/verdict of

☐ NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged
☒ GUILTY.

FINDING &
JUDGMENT

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of **having violated Title 18, U.S.C.,
§2313, as charged in the Information.**

SENTENCE
OR
PROBATION
ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

THREE AND ONE-HALF (3 1/2) YEARS.

SPECIAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATION

ADDITIONAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT
RECOMMEN-
DATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

**THAT the defendant be placed in an institution
where he will receive psychiatric and psychological
evaluation and treatment.**

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

☒ U.S. District Judge

☐ U.S. Magistrate

151 H. Dale Cook

H. Dale Cook

Date October 15, 1982

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

BY

() CLERK
(X) DEPUTY

FILED

OCT 15 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

United States District Court for

United States of America vs.

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DEFENDANT

CHARLES WELLS

DOCKET NO.

82-CR-42-C

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (6/74)

In the presence of the attorney for the government
the defendant appeared in person on this date

| MONTH | DAY | YEAR |
|-------|-----|------|
| 10 | 15 | 1982 |

COUNSEL

☐ WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

☒ WITH COUNSEL

Anthony M. Laizure, retained

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

☐ GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that
there is a factual basis for the plea,

☐ NOLO CONTENDERE,

☒ NOT GUILTY

There being a finding/verdict of

☐ NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged
☒ GUILTY.

FINDING &
JUDGMENT

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of **having violated Title 18, U.S.C.,
§§ 1341 and 2, as charged in the Indictment.**

SENTENCE
OR
PROBATION
ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

Count 1 - Three (3) Years.

**Counts 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 - Three (3) Years as to
each count, said sentence to run concurrent with the sentence
imposed in Count 1.**

SPECIAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATION

**It is further Ordered that the execution of sentence is
stayed for a period of 30 days from this date, at which time
the defendant is to present himself to the U. S. Marshal at
Tulsa, Oklahoma, or directly to an institution at the direction
of the Marshal, in execution of said sentence.**

FILED

OCT 15 1982

**LISA D. SILVER, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

ADDITIONAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT
RECOMMEN-
DATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

☒ U.S. District Judge

☐ U.S. Magistrate

H. Dale Cook

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

BY

() CLERK

(X) DEPUTY

Date **October 15, 1982**

DEFENDANT

CLIFFORD RALPH ELLIS

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DOCKET NO.

82-CR-121-E

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY YEAR
10-13 82

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Joel Wohlgenuth, Et. Apptd.

(Name of counsel)

FILED

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

OCT 13 1982

There being a finding/verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged
GUILTY.

John E. Silver, Clerk
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

FINDING & JUDGMENT

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S.C., Section 371, as charged in the Information.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

FIFTEEN (15) MONTHS.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant may become eligible for parole at such time as the Parole Commission may determine as provided in T. 18, USC, Sec. 4205(b)(2).

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the execution of sentence is deferred to November 15, 1982, at which time the defendant is to report to the designated institution via his own transportation. Prior to such date, the defendant is to communicate with the U. S. Marshal's office in regard to location of designated institution.

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

BY

James O. Ellison

Date 10-13-82

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

DAVID DEAN HILL

DEFENDANT

82-CR-118-E

DOCKET NO.

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (6/74)

In the presence of the attorney for the government
the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY YEAR
10 12 82

COUNSEL

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Kenneth Stainer, Ct. Appd.

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that
there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

There being a finding/verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

FINDING &
JUDGMENT

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of **having violated Title 18, U.S.C.,
Section 656 as charged in the Indictment.**

SENTENCE
OR
PROBATION
ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General for a period of **FOUR (4) YEARS** from this date.

The imposition of sentence is suspended and the defendant is hereby placed on probation for a period of FOUR (4) YEARS from this date as provided under T. 18, U.S.C., Sect. 4216:5010(a), under the provisions of the Youth Correction Act.

SPECIAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATION

SPECIAL CONDITIONS of probation are that the defendant make restitution in the amount of \$3,276.65, at monthly payments as determined by the Probation office, continue psychological or psychiatric treatment. Defendant is FURTHER ORDERED to spend Sixty (60) days of his probation period committed to the Halfway House in Tulsa, Oklahoma. The Probation office is directed to make necessary arrangements.

ADDITIONAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT
RECOMMEN-
DATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

BY

James O. Ellison

Date

10-12-82

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

OCT 6 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

PHILLIP CHRISTOPHER GREELEY,

Defendant.

No. 82-CR-78-BT

O R D E R

On September 10, 1982, in this case, came the attorney for the Government, Don J. Guy, and the defendant, Phillip Christopher Greeley, appeared in person and by counsel, Denzil D. Garrison and Michael R. Brown. Sworn testimony was adduced, and the matter was continued at the request of defendant's counsel to September 24, 1982, for the introduction of additional evidence.

On September 24, 1982, in this case, came the attorney for the Government, Don J. Guy, and the defendant, Phillip Christopher Greeley, appeared in person and by counsel, Denzil D. Garrison and Michael R. Brown. Additional evidence was introduced. At the conclusion of the hearing, the parties were given until September 29, 1982, to file additional briefs.

NOW, on this 6th day of October, 1982, this matter came on for additional hearing, Philard Rounds appearing for the Government, and the defendant, Phillip Christopher Greeley, appearing in person and by counsel, Denzil D. Garrison and Michael R. Brown.

Upon entering a plea of guilty on June 30, 1982 to having violated 18 U.S.C. §495, as charged in Count 2 of the Indictment on August 3, 1982, IT WAS ADJUDGED the imposition of sentence was suspended and the defendant, Phillip Christopher Greeley, was placed on probation for a period of three (3) years, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §5010(a) under the provisions of the Youth Correction Act.^{1/} Two of the General Conditions of Probation imposed were, i.e., "(1) refrain from violation of any (federal, state or local) law and get in touch immediately with your probation officer, if arrested or questioned by a law-enforcement officer; (2) associate only with law-abiding persons and maintain reasonable hours;..."

On August 31, 1982, there having been filed a petition for bench warrant for the defendant, Phillip Christopher Greeley, to appear before the Court to show cause why his probation should not be revoked.

The Court directed the Probation Officer assigned to defendant's case, E. Dayton Wagoner, to recite and advise the Court of the grounds for revocation.

On August 26, 1982, Mr. Greeley forfeited a \$105.00 cash bond he has posted August 9, 1982, in the Municipal Court for the City of Bartlesville, Oklahoma, as a result of his arrest for possession of marijuana and possession of drug paraphernalia.

^{1/} Mr. Greeley was 20 years of age on the date of his conviction.

Police Officer Robert E. Peugh, a member of the City of Bartlesville Police Department, testified he received a radio call from a member of the police department in the early morning hours of August 9, 1982 asking him to investigate a certain vehicle which had been seen driving slowly through the residential neighborhood on three occasions. Officer Peugh saw the described vehicle with two occupants about 3 A.M., on the morning of August 9, 1982 in the neighborhood and stopped the vehicle for investigatory purposes, i.e., for identification of the vehicle and occupants and an explanation concerning their destination.^{2/} Officer Peugh stated there had been numerous burglaries in this neighborhood previously. Officer Peugh recognized the passenger in the vehicle as one Don Vermillion whom he had previously arrested. Greeley cooperated with Officer Peugh in the investigatory stop. After Greeley had identified himself, in response to a question concerning ownership of the vehicle, Greeley opened the glove compartment of the vehicle to locate the certificate of title or evidence of ownership. When Greeley opened the glove compartment Officer Peugh saw in plain sight what he recognized to be a plastic bag of marijuana. Also, Officer Peugh observed in the vehicle, on the passenger side, an open container of 3.2 beer. Officer Peugh then placed Greeley under arrest and advised him of his Miranda rights. Subsequently at the police station Officer Peugh found on Greeley's person drug paraphernalia and an additional quantity of marijuana. Four

^{2/} The officer testified he did not observe the vehicle or defendant violate the law prior to stopping him initially.

days following Greeley's arrest he voluntarily appeared to discuss the facts surrounding the event and his arrest with his probation officer, Dayton Wagoner.

Counsel for the defendant has moved to suppress the testimony of Officer Peugh on the ground his testimony is based upon an unconstitutional arrest and that all evidence resulting from that arrest should be suppressed. Counsel for defendant further contends the initial contact or stop and arrest of the defendant as well as the search and seizure of evidence are violative of the proscriptions of the Fourth Amendment and therefore subject to the so-called "exclusionary rule."^{3/}

The reasonableness of an investigative stop depends on the facts and circumstances of each case with particular attention to (i) the public interest served by the stop, (ii) the nature and scope of the intrusion, and (iii) objective facts upon which the

^{3/} The Court need not reach the question of whether the exclusionary rule is applicable to probation revocation proceedings, but notes of the nine Courts of Appeals that have considered the question, seven have concluded that it is not. United States v. Bazzano, 677 F.2d 971, 974-75 (3rd Cir. 1982); United States v. Frederickson, 581 F.2d 711, 713 (8th Cir. 1978); United States v. Winsett, 518 F.2d 51, 53-56 (9th Cir. 1975); United States v. Farmer, 512 F.2d 160, 162-163 (6th Cir. 1975), cert. denied, 423 U.S. 987, 96 S.Ct. 397, 46 L.Ed.2d 305 (1975); United States v. Brown, 488 F.2d 94, 95 (5th Cir. 1973); United States v. Hill, 447 F.2d 817, 819 (7th Cir. 1971); United States ex rel. Sperling v. Fitzpatrick, 426 F.2d 1161, 1163 (2nd Cir. 1970) [parole revocation]. But see, United States v. Rea, 678 F.2d 382 (2nd Cir. 1982); United States v. Workman, 585 F.2d 1205, 1211 (4th Cir. 1978).

The Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals has not addressed this question.

law enforcement officer relied in light of his knowledge and expertise. United States v. MacDonald, 670 F.2d 910, 912 (10th Cir. 1982); United States v. Viegas, 639 F.2d 42, 44-45 (1st Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 451 U.S. 554, 100 S.Ct. 1870, 64 L.Ed.2d 660 (1979); Terry v. Ohio, 392 U.S. 1, 88 S.Ct. 1868, 20 L.Ed. 2d 889 (1968).

A brief, relatively unintrusive stop and detention may be "reasonable" if based upon an articulable suspicion. Terry v. Ohio, supra. See also, Brown v. Texas, supra; United States v. Brignoni-Ponce, 422 U.S. 873, 95 S.Ct. 2574, 45 L.Ed.2d 608 (1975); United States v. Nembhand, 676 F.2d 193, 202 (6th Cir. 1982). The totality of all circumstances--the whole picture--must be taken into account. See United States v. Cortez, 449 U.S. 411, 101 S.Ct. 690, 66 L.Ed.2d 621 (1981).

Officer Peugh had the right under these circumstances to stop Greeley and his companion in the vehicle and question them. See Adams v. Williams, 407 U.S. 143, 145-46 (1972); Terry v. Ohio, supra.

Viewing the factual situation as a whole, the Court finds that Officer Peugh's initial stop and detention of Greeley and his companion was not violative of Greeley's Fourth Amendment rights, because Officer Peugh had reasonable, articulable grounds for the initial stop under all the circumstances.

Further, the Court finds the defendant violated the terms and provisions of his probation in being out in public without justification at 3 A.M., on August 9, 1982 in the company of a convicted felon while defendant was in possession of drug paraphernalia and significant quantities of marijuana.


IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED the defendant's probation is
revoked.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §3653, in revoking probation the Court
may require the defendant to serve the sentence imposed, or any
lesser sentence, and, if imposition of the sentence was suspended,
may impose any sentence which might originally have been imposed.

The Judgment and Commitment is filed this date.

The defendant gave notice of intention to appeal in open
court and the Court stayed the execution of the Judgment and
Commitment upon the posting of a \$5,000.00 cash or surety appeal
and appearance bond.

DATED this 6th day of October, 1982.


THOMAS R. BRETT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DEFENDANT

PHILLIP CHRISTOPHER GREELEY THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DOCKET NO. 82-CR-78-BT

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (6/74)

In the presence of the attorney for the government
the defendant appeared in person on this date

| MONTH | DAY | YEAR |
|-------|-----|------|
| 10 | 06 | 82 |

COUNSEL

☐ WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

☒ WITH COUNSEL Denzil D. Garrison & Michael R. Brown, Retained Counsels
(Name of counsel)

PLEA

☒ GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea, ☐ NOLO CONTENDERE, ☐ NOT GUILTY

There being a finding/verdict of

☐ NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged
☒ GUILTY.

FINDING &
JUDGMENT

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S.C. Section 495 as charged in count two of the indictment.

Upon the revocation of probation of defendant this date the Court sentences the defendant as follows:

Court finds that the defendant was 20 years of age at the time of conviction and is eligible for handling under the Youth Correction Act.

SENTENCE
OR
PROBATION
ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative ~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~

Count 2 - Treatment and supervision pursuant to Title 18, U.S.C. Section 5010(b) until discharged by the U.S. Parole Commission, as provided by Title 18, U.S.C., Section 5017.

SPECIAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATIONADDITIONAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

COMMITMENT
RECOMMEN-
DATION

Approved as to form:

Philard Rounds, Jr.
Philard Rounds, Jr.
Asst. U.S. Atty.

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

☒ U.S. District Judge☐ U.S. Magistrate

THOMAS R. BRETT

Date 10-6-82